

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

اسر

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,240	01/17/2002	Philippe Georges Castel	DP-301665 8028		
75	7590 12/18/2003			EXAMINER	
SCOTT A. MCBAIN			LOPEZ, FRANK D		
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff, Mail Code: 480-414-420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 5052			3745		
Troy, MI 48007-5052			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003 / 1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/052,240	CASTEL, PHILIPPE GEORGES				
Authory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 rejection of claims 18 and 19. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the						
application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 15-28.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 3745				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant does not discuss the 112 problem with claim 15 and aplicant is mistaken about the limitation of the reaction disc contributing to determining a braking force where the brake booster switches from the first state to the second state.

The 112 problem is that the thrust assembly is claimed as including the reaction disc (line 8-12) and that the reaction disc is within the thrust assembly. The reaction disc can not be within itself. Suggest either deleting that portion claiming that the reaction disc is within the thrust assembly or claim that the reaction disc is some other element, such as the reation rod.

Applicant argues that Suzuki does not disclose a reaction disc that contributes to determining a braking force at which passage from a first braking state to a second braking state takes place. This is inmaterial, since the primary reference teaches that the reaction disc contributes to determining a braking force at which passage from a first braking state to a second braking state takes place; since the reaction force must pass through the reaction disc, from the reaction piston to the plunger. Furthermore, the two piece reaction disc of Endo is clearly causing the change from the first to the second braking state.